Applicant(s) Application No. 09/895,534 PRASAD ET AL. Interview Summary Art Unit Examiner Mehmet B. Geckil 2142 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Mehmet B. Geckil. (2) Christopher Palermo. Date of Interview: 12 May 2005. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ✓ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: ____. Claim(s) discussed: 30-33. Identification of prior art discussed: _____. Agreement with respect to the claims f(X) was reached. f(X) was not reached. f(X) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner called the attorney to point out that in order to avoid a 101 rejection claims 30-33 should be amended by inserting the word "tangible" before the computer-readbale medium. Attorney authorized to amed the claims with the word "tangible" as proposed by the examiner thus placing the application in condition of allowance . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. Mehmet B. Geckil PRIMARY EXAMINER Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Attachment to a signed Office action.